Introduction to Adaptative Experimental Design

Mentor: Zhaoqi Li Mentee: Zilin Huang SPA Fall 2022 University of Washington



# Motivation

- (Ideal Case) Make as much money during gambling as possible
- No opportunity cost, no loss of money
- Probability of winning different amount of money from different gamble machines vary a lot



# What is Stochastic Bandit?

- Essence: A set of probability distributions ("bandit arms")
- Actions and Rewards
- Ex. Bernoulli Bandit: the simplest case



# Regret of Stochastic Bandit

- Deficiencies between optimal and practical strategy
- Want it to be as small as possible (mean reward as large as possible)
- Suboptimality Gap
- Sum up by rounds
- Sum up by actions?

$$R_n = nu^* - E\left[\sum_{t=1}^n X_t\right]$$

(*n* : total number of rounds,  $u^*$ : largest reward of the "optimal" arm during each round,  $X_t$ : actual reward during each round)

## Policy of Stochastic Bandit: Explore-Then-Commit (ETC) Algorithm

- Explore first (play with each of the k rounds for m times)
- Commit next (play with the arm with the largest mean reward only)
- Regret: subject to linear growth
- Ex. Randomly guess makes linear regret occur

 $(m: rounds played by each arm during "exploring", k: number of arms, <math>u_i(n):$  actual mean reward of arm i after n rounds)

1: Input *m*. 2: In round *t* choose action  $A_t = \begin{cases} (t \mod k) + 1, & \text{if } t \le mk; \\ \operatorname{argmax}_i \hat{\mu}_i(mk), & t > mk. \end{cases}$ 

(ties in the argmax are broken arbitrarily)

Algorithm 1: Explore-then-commit.

## Policy of Stochastic Bandit: Upper Confidence Bound (UCB) Algorithm

- Define a "UCB" index for each arm
- Play the arm with the largest "UCB"
- Update this arm's "UCB" based on generated rewards
- Regret: subject to sublinear growth
- Bounded by "Good Events" (true value inside Confidence Interval)
- Best for minimizing the overall regret

(t : current tth round,  $\delta$ : boundary of Confidence Interval,  $T_i(n)$  : total number of rounds (Random Variable)  $u_i(n)$  : actual mean reward of arm i after n rounds)

$$\mathrm{UCB}_i(t-1,\delta) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } T_i(t-1) = 0\\ \hat{\mu}_i(t-1) + \sqrt{\frac{2\log(1/\delta)}{T_i(t-1)}} & \text{otherwise} . \end{cases}$$



# Policy of Stochastic Bandit: Elimination Algorithm

- Each round represents an updated environment with varied number of arms
- Eliminate the arms whose mean reward has "too large" difference with the optimal arm
- Regret: stick to playing with one arm and calculate the accumulated regret
- Best for identifying the best arm (*l* : current *l*th phase,  $2^{-l}$ : defined index of Confidence Interval  $u_{i,l}$ : actual mean reward of arm *i* in phase *l*)

2:  $A_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ 3: for  $\ell = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$  do Choose each arm  $i \in A_{\ell}$  exactly  $m_{\ell}$  times Let  $\hat{\mu}_{i,\ell}$  be the average reward for arm *i* from this phase only Update active set:  $A_{\ell+1} = \left\{ i : \hat{\mu}_{i,\ell} + 2^{-\ell} \ge \max_{i \in A} \hat{\mu}_{j,\ell} \right\}$ 7: end for

Algorithm 2: Phased elimination for finite-armed bandits

Phase | Phase

# Policy of Stochastic Bandit: Thompson Sampling Algorithm

- Each arm's mean is a probability distribution function (pdf), instead of a fixed number
- Extract samples from each arm, which infers another pdf
- P("best arm") RV = P("sample arm")
- These pdf are used to estimate the true pdf of each arm's mean
- Regret: follow Bayesian setting
- Application: Amazon front-page algorithm recommendation



#### Implementation in Python (of Bernoulli Bandits)

def \_\_int\_\_(self, means, K, round):
 self.means = means
 self.K = K
 self.round = round

#### def pull(self, a): # Pull Once Each Time: realisation = bernoulli.rvs(sum(self.means[0:a+1])/(a+1), size=1) return realisation[0]

def regret(self, realisation, rounds):
 # Optimal Rewards:
 u\_opt = sum(self.means) / self.K
 # Simulate the Learner's Gained Rewards:
 regret = self.round \* u\_opt - self.expected\_value(realisation, rounds)
 return regret

def expected\_value(self, values, weights):
 values = np.asarray(values)
 weights = np.asarray(weights)
 return (logsumexp(values) \* logsumexp(weights)).sum() / logsumexp(weights).sum()

round 1 's result: arm 2 generates 0 round 2 's result: arm 2 generates 0 round 3 's result: arm 1 generates 1 round 4 's result: arm 1 generates 0 round 5 's result: arm 1 generates 1 round 6 's result: arm 2 generates 0 round 7 's result: arm 1 generates 0 round 8 's result: arm 2 generates 0 round 9 's result: arm 2 generates 0 round 9 's result: arm 1 generates 0

#### Implementation in Python (of UCB Algorithm)



in the 1 th round, the 9 th arm is being played in the 2 th round, the 1 th arm is being played in the 3 th round, the 5 th arm is being played in the 4 th round, the 17 th arm is being played in the 5 th round, the 11 th arm is being played in the 6 th round, the 13 th arm is being played in the 7 th round, the 15 th arm is being played in the 8 th round, the 16 th arm is being played in the 9 th round, the 18 th arm is being played in the 10 th round, the 20 th arm is being played in the 11 th round, the 4 th arm is being played in the 12 th round, the 12 th arm is being played in the 13 th round, the 2 th arm is being played in the 14 th round, the 6 th arm is being played [0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]

```
def Berkely(K, delta, mean):
C:\Users\huang\PycharmProjects\venv\Scripts\python.e
                                            previous_round = 10
                                            round = 150
                                            UCB = []
                                            time = []
                                            for_regret = []
                                            playtime = [0] * K
                                            for i in range(0, K):
                                                time.append(math.sqrt(2 * math.log(1 / delta) / previous_round))
                                            for j in range(0, K):
                                                UCB.append(mean[j] + time[j])
```

### References

Lattimore, T., & Szepesvári Csaba. (2020). Bandit Algorithms. Cambridge University Press. Kevin Jamieson. (2021). Some Notes on Multi-armed Bandits. University of Washington.

# Thank you for watching

# Any Questions?



# Theory of Stochastic Bandit: Tail Probabilities



Difference between <u>sample mean</u> and <u>empirical mean</u>

 $\mathbb{P}(\hat{\mu} \ge \mu + \varepsilon)$  and  $\mathbb{P}(\hat{\mu} \le \mu - \varepsilon)$ .

 Bounded upon Subgaussian environment

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{\mu} \geq \mu + \varepsilon\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad and \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{\mu} \leq \mu - \varepsilon\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \,,$$

 sample mean and empirical mean differs by a small amount

$$\leq \hat{\mu} + \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^2 \log(1/\delta)}{n}} \,. \tag{5.6}$$

Symmetrically, it also follows that with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,

 $\mu$ 

$$\mu \ge \hat{\mu} - \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^2 \log(1/\delta)}{n}} \,. \tag{5.7}$$

## Special case: Follow-the-leader



## Some Graphs





Figure 6.1 The expected regret of ETC and the upper bound in Eq. (6.6).

### Some Graphs



Figure 7.1 Experiment showing universality of UCB relative to fixed instances of ETC

